

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/782,525	02/19/2004	Timothy B. Main	59159-15	3268		
22504	7590 09/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
DAVIS WI	RIGHT TREMAINE, L	HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE				
2600 CENT	URY SQUARE					
1501 FOURTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE.	WA 98101-1688		3727			

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Apı	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10	/782,525	MAIN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
		Rol	bin A. Hylton	3727				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
Period fo	• •			4404)TH(0) OD THIDTH(20) 24) (0			
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- iperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply verify received by the Office later than three months af- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALLING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. utory period will app vill, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUI In no event, however, may ly and will expire SIX (6) Me the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>31 July 20</i>						
-		_	on is non-final.					
~=	, _							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	d or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
<u></u>	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		•	• • •	` '			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies o	•		en received in this National	Stage			
+ 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	• •		🗖 :					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	/	5) 🔲 Notice o	f Informal Patent Application				
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6-2-04</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/782,525

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Claims 11-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on July 31, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coad et al. (US 4,541,227) in view of Christoffel (CH 187,705) and Lissner (DE 3,416,710).

Coad teaches it is known to use a 30 inch by 16 inch by 10 inch kraft bale bag to pack multiple ten pound bags therein for shipping and storage, the paper bale having a front panel, a back panel, two side panels, and a closed bottom panel, wherein the front wall has a crease proximate the bottom panel and the side panels each has an elongate crease therein. Coad is silent regarding the panels being multi-layered and does not teach an aperture in the back panel and a cut-away portion in the front panel exposing the aperture.

Christoffel teaches it is known to provide a paper bag with an aperture 4 in the back panel and a cut-away portion 5 in the front panel exposing the aperture.

Lissner teaches it is known to use multiple paper layers to construct a bale bag.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of an aperture in the back wall and a cut-away portion in the front wall exposing the aperture to the bag of Christoffel. Doing so would negate the need for clamps and compressors to hold the bale bag open during filling with filled bags.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,525

Art Unit: 3727

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of a multi-wall structure to the bag of Christoffel.

Doing so adds strength to the bag for supporting filled multiple bags therein.

Regarding the dimensions of claims 8-11, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select any specific dimension, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lawford (AU 113,542).

Coad as modified teaches the claimed bale bag except for ventilation holes in the front and back panels.

Lawford teaches it is known to provide ventilation holes in the front and back panels of the bale bag.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of ventilation holes in the front and back panels of the modified bale bag of Coad. Doing so allows for air-flow through the bale bag to allow the inner bags contents to "breath" thereby extending the contents' shelf-life.

Conclusion

5. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/905,434. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/782,525

Art Unit: 3727

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

- 6. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 8. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify

Application/Control Number: 10/782,525 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

9. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The l	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled .S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:	to
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate	
	Signature	
	Date	

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382

Art Unit: 3727

- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH

September 17, 2006

Robin A. Hyilon Primary Examiner

GAU 3727